

# Math 279 Lecture 7 Notes

Daniel Raban

September 16, 2021

## 1 Gubinelli's Sewing Lemma and Tensor Algebra for Increments

### 1.1 Gubinelli's sewing lemma

The method we have used so far can be used to show that if  $x(t) = (f(t), g(t))$  with  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha, g \in \mathcal{C}^\beta$ , then there exists a unique candidate for  $\int_0^t f dg$  (Young's theorem), provided that  $\alpha + \beta > 1$ . (The general case  $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$  with  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be treated later.) More precisely, we can find a  $\beta$ -Hölder  $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(0) = 0$ , and

$$|h(t) - h(s) - \underbrace{f(s)(g(t) - g(s))}_{=: A(s,t)}| \leq c_0 |t - s|^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

In fact, Gubinelli's sewing lemma gives the sufficient (even necessary) conditions on  $A$  that would guarantee the existence of such an  $h$

**Definition 1.1.** Given  $A : [0, T]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , we say  $A$  is  $\gamma$ -coherent if

$$|A(s, t) - A(s, u) - A(u, t)| \leq c_0 |t - s|^{1+\gamma}$$

for all  $s, u, t$  satisfying  $0 \leq s \leq u \leq t \leq T$ .

**Lemma 1.1** (Sewing lemma, Gubinelli). *If  $A$  is  $\gamma$ -coherent, then*

$$h(t) = \lim_{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n A(t_i, t_{i+1})$$

*exists, where  $\pi = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} = t\}$  is a partition of the interval  $[0, t]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\pi = \{s = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} = t\}$  is a partition of  $[s, t]$  and if  $I(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^n A(t_i, t_{i+1})$ , then

$$I(\pi) - I(\pi \setminus \{t_i\}) = |A(t_{i-1}, t_i) + A(t_i, t_{i+1}) - A(t_{i-1}, t_{i+1})| \leq c_0 |t_{i+1} - t_{i-1}|^{1+\gamma}.$$

We may choose  $t_i$  so that  $|t_{i+1} - t_i| \leq \frac{2}{n}|t - s|$ . We can repeat our previous argument to show that the limit exists and that

$$|h(t) - h(s) - A(s, t)| \leq c|t - s|^{1+\gamma}, \quad \text{where } c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{1+\gamma}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 1.1.** Observe that if  $A(s, t) = f(s)(g(t) - g(s))$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |A(s, t) - A(s, u) - A(u, t)| &= |f(s)(g(t) - f(s)) - f(s)(g(u) - g(s)) - f(u)(g(t) - g(u))| \\ &= |(f(s) - f(u))(g(t) - g(u))| \\ &\leq [f]_{\alpha}[g]_{\beta}|t - s|^{\alpha+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that our candidate  $h'$  represents  $fg'$ , and we are comparing  $fg'$  with  $f(s)g'$ :

$$|h(t) - h(s) - f(s)(g(t) - g(s))| = |(h' - f(s)g')(\mathbb{1}_{[s,t]})| \leq c_0|t - s|^{1+\gamma}.$$

Perhaps we set  $F_s = f(s)g'$ , and the  $\gamma$ -coherence condition requires some kind of regularity of the map  $s \mapsto F_s$ .

$$\begin{aligned} A(s, t) - A(s, u) - A(u, t) &= \underbrace{F_s(\mathbb{1}_{[s,t]})}_{F_s(\mathbb{1}_{[s,u]} + \mathbb{1}_{[u,t]})} - F_s(\mathbb{1}_{[s,u]}) - F_u(\mathbb{1}_{[u,t]}) \\ &= F_s(\mathbb{1}_{[u,t]}) - F_u(\mathbb{1}_{[u,t]}) \\ &= (F_s - F_u)(\mathbb{1}_{[u,t]}). \end{aligned}$$

Perhaps we should write  $\varphi = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}$  and  $\varphi_x^\lambda(\theta) := \lambda^{-1}\varphi\left(\frac{\theta-x}{\lambda}\right) = \lambda^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{[x, x+\lambda]}$ , which approximates the  $\delta$  distribution at  $x$ . Then  $(F_s - F_u)(\mathbb{1}_{[u,t]}) = \lambda(F_s - F_u)(\varphi_u^\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda = t - u$ . Gubinelli's condition means that

$$|(F_s - F_u)(\varphi_u^\lambda)| \leq \lambda^{-1}(|s - u| + \lambda)^{1+\gamma}.$$

This condition is sharp.

## 1.2 Tensor algebra structure for increments

So far, for a rough path, we need a vector  $x(s, t) = x(t) - x(s)$  and a matrix  $\mathbb{X}(s, t)$ . For  $\alpha > 1/k$ , we are dealing with a tensor algebra that is truncated at order  $k$ . For  $k = 3$ , we cut it at 3 and only deal with 1 and 2 tensors. Consider the vector space  $V = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^\ell \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}$  with elements  $(\lambda, v, A)$  (which we may write as  $\lambda + v + A$ ). We equip  $V$  with a multiplication (tensor product)

$$(\lambda + v + A) \otimes (\lambda' + v' + A') = (\lambda\lambda') + (\lambda v' + \lambda' v) + (\lambda A' + \lambda' A + v \otimes v').$$

Note that if  $G = \{1 + v + A : v \in \mathbb{R}^\ell, A \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}\}$ , then  $G$  is closed with respect to  $\otimes$ . In fact  $G$  is a group. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + v + A)^{-1} &= 1 - (v + A) + (v + A) \otimes (v + A) + \cdots \\ &= 1 - (v + A) + v \otimes v \\ &= 1 - v + (v \otimes v - A). \end{aligned}$$

Let's take a rough path:  $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,  $\mathbb{X} : [0, T]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}$ . Given such a path, set

$$\mathbf{x}(s, t) = 1 + \underbrace{x(t) - x(s)}_{x(s, t)} + \mathbb{X}(s, t),$$

so  $\mathbf{x} : [0, T]^2 \rightarrow G$ . Recall Chen's relation,

$$\mathbb{X}(s, t) = \mathbb{X}(s, u) + \mathbb{X}(u, t) + x(s, u) \otimes x(u, t).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(s, u) \otimes \mathbf{x}(u, t) &= (1 + x(s, u) + \mathbb{X}(s, u)) \otimes (1 + x(u, t) + \mathbb{X}(u, t)) \\ &= 1 + x(s, t) + (\mathbb{X}(s, t) + \mathbb{X}(s, u) + x(s, u) \otimes x(u, t)) \\ &= \mathbf{x}(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Chen's relation is equivalent to saying that  $\mathbf{x}(s, t) = \mathbf{x}(s, u) \otimes \mathbf{x}(u, t)$ . This says that with respect to  $\otimes$ ,  $\mathbf{x}(s, t)$  is an increment. We can also see that  $\mathbf{x}(s, t) = \mathbf{x}(0, s) \otimes \mathbf{x}(s, t)$ , which gives

$$\mathbf{x}(s, t) = \mathbf{x}(0, s)^{-1} \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{x}(0, t)}_{\mathbf{x}(t)}.$$

In summary,  $\mathcal{R}^\alpha$  is isomorphic to the set of paths  $\mathbf{x} : [0, T] \rightarrow G$  and, by choosing a suitable metric on  $G$ , that are left-invariant with  $\mathbf{x}$  being  $\alpha$ -Hölder with respect to this metric:

$$[[x]]_\alpha = \sup_{s \neq t} \frac{d_G(x(t), x(s))}{|t - s|^\alpha} < \infty.$$

How about  $\mathcal{R}_g^\alpha$ ? Even in this case, we get the set of  $\alpha$ -Hölder paths  $\mathbf{x} : [0, T] \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ , where  $\widehat{G}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Remember that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_g^\alpha &= \{(x, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{R}^\alpha : \mathbb{X}(s, t) + \mathbb{X}^*(s, t) = x(s, t) \otimes x(s, t)\} \\ &= \left\{ (x, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{R}^\alpha : \mathbb{X}(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}x(s, t) \otimes x(s, t) + C(s, t), C^* + C = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This suggests that

$$\widehat{G} = \{1 + v + (\frac{1}{2}v \otimes v + C) : C^* + C = 0\}.$$